



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,847	01/09/2007	Kenneth Hofland	BJS-620-454	3278

23117 7590 10/13/2010
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22203

EXAMINER

KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1623

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/13/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/591,847	Applicant(s) HOFLAND ET AL.	
	Examiner Ganapathy Krishnan	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.

(2) Mr. B.J. Sadoff.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 October 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-4 and 6-10

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

see continuation sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ganapathy Krishnan/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

The Examiner informed the Attorney of record that:

1. Cancel claims 6-10 since they are not tangible composition claims since the recited active agents cannot be made as a composition with radiation as one of the components.
2. In claim 1:
 - a) replace the terms, "which comprises" with "in the brain comprising".
 - b) at line 3, replace the term "topoisomerase-II poison" with "etoposide" or recite etoposide along with the other specific topoisomerase-II poisons recited at page 8, lines 14-18 using Markush language.
 - c) at line 3, instead of "bis-dioxypiperazine" recite the specific dioxypiperazine, namely dexrazoxane, recited in claim 3 and cancel claim 3.
 - d) at line 3, replace the term "wherein" with "and"
 - e) recite the dosage levels (stated at pages 9-10 of the specification) of all the active agents in claim 1 including the radiation.

The above amendments will put the application in a condition for allowance.

Mr. Sadoff said he will get back to the Examiner after talking to the inventors.